IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

ARNOLD RALENKOTTER)
Defendant.)

PLEA TO INFORMATION
BEFORE THE HONORABLE AMUL R. THAPAR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Appearances:

For the Plaintiff: F.M. HAMILTON, III, ESQ.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of U.S. Attorney 800 Market Street, Suite 21

800 Market Street, Suite 211 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

865-545-4167

Email: Trey.hamilton@usdoj.gov

For the Defendant: EDWARD M. YARBROUGH, ESQ.

Walker, Tipps & Malone PLC 150 Fourth Avenue North 2300 One Nashville Place Nashville, Tennessee 37219

Email: Eyarbrough@walkertipps.com

Prepared By:

TIFFANY ASHTON, LCR# 625 MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS 12804 Union Road, Knoxville, TN 37934 Phone: 865-675-1471 / Fax: 865-675-6398

E-mail: Jmccon3590@aol.com

THE COURT: Can you please place the Defendant under

Page 3

- 1 oath?
- 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Your Honor. Mr.
- Ralenkotter, if you would, do you solemnly swear or affirm to
- tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing, but the truth,
- 5 so help you God?
- 6 MR. RALENKOTTER: I do.
- 7 (The witness was duly sworn.)
- 8 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full name for
- 9 the record.
- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: Arnold Lee Ralenkotter.
- 11 THE COURT: And, Mr. Ralenkotter, are you
- represented in this proceeding by Mr. Yarbrough who is to your
- 13 right?
- 14 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay. I want to make you a deal. I'm
- 16 going to ask you a large number of questions and some of them
- 17 I may gargle, I may be confusing in some way. Will you make
- sure that, as I ask a question, that you understand them? And
- if you don't, can you stop me and tell me you don't understand
- them so I can rephrase them?
- 21 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. Have you all executed a waiver of
- 23 indictment?
- MR. YARBROUGH: May it please the Court, we have a
- form waiver of indictment in this case, which is ready to be

- 1 executed, but he has not yet signed it, but he is prepared to.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. May I ask the United States --
- 3 I'm sorry. Who's speaking for the United States?
- 4 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, my name is Trey Hamilton.
- 5 I'm with the U.S. Attorney's Office here in Knoxville,
- 6 Tennessee.
- THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hamilton, good morning. The
 process is -- just so I understand, because I usually do the
 waivers, you've gone through the whole -- he understands his
 rights about the grand jury and everything else, he's waived
 all those rights and I can sign the waiver of indictment and
 proceed to the change of plea?
- is waiving his right to an indictment by way of grand jury.

 That's also specifically addressed in the plea agreement and

 -- but I believe that during this Rule 11 proceeding that

 those rights would also be reviewed with him. He's had his

 initial appearance, but that's the extent to the proceedings.
- THE COURT: Did the Magistrate Judge go over the waiver?
- 21 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. All right. Before we get to the plea, Mr. Ralenkotter, I want to talk to you about the waiver for just a minute and I'm going to -- if I do it by memory,
- 25 I'll forget to tell you something, so I'm going to pull

something up on my -- just give me one second and I will get

2 it up.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, Mr. Ralenkotter, as I pull this up, I'm going to ask you some of the questions that I remember by memory, which is first and foremost, you understand that the Government has to -- if they want to return an indictment, they have to present that to a grand jury. Do you understand that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And a grand jury is just a group of citizens drawn from the general public. In other words, a group of your peers and ordinary citizens that would have to hear the evidence and determine by a preponderance of the evidence that you have, in fact, committed a crime that the Government's alleging. Do you understand that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And while the indictment is not evidence of guilt, you do have a constitutional right for any felony that it be presented to a grand jury and they pass judgment on whether or not there's a preponderance of the evidence supporting your guilt. Do you understand that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. And, now, I'm going to pull up my
24 form to make sure --

MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor --

Page 6 1 THE COURT: Yes? 2. MR. HAMILTON: And, please, I apologize for interrupting the Court --3 THE COURT: No. 4 MR. HAMILTON: -- but if I may make a suggestion to 5 the Court that the grand jury need only find by probable cause 6 7 that --That's right. See? That's why I pull THE COURT: 8 9 up my forms. The U.S. is correct. So they have to find by probable cause, which they're all kind of nebulous concepts, 10 but the key about probable cause is it's less than beyond a 11 12 reasonable doubt, which a jury would have to find and we're going to talk about in a little bit. Do you understand that? 13 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Let's see if I can find 15 16 You understand that you have each and every one of these rights and the grand jury would have to meet, hear 17 witnesses against you that the Government would present and 18 then make those determinations? 19 20 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And knowing all of this, you're willing 22 to waive your rights to a grand jury indicting you and proceed by information? 23 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any other questions the

- 1 United States believes I need to ask before accepting the
- 2 waiver?
- 3 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor. I believe that
- 4 there's a form that's been provided that he can sign in open
- 5 court if the Court wouldn't mind going through that as well,
- 6 which you may have intended to do --
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 MR. HAMILTON: -- but with respect to the waiver of
- 9 the indictment and grand jury, no, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Yarbrough, you agree
- 11 there's no other questions I need to ask --
- MR. YARBROUGH: Yes, Your Honor. I have discussed
- this at some length with my client and I believe he is fully
- understanding of his rights to an indictment.
- 15 THE COURT: Great. Mr. Ralenkotter, in front of you
- 16 -- am I pronouncing your name correctly?
- 17 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 18 THE COURT: In front of you is a waiver of the
- indictment. By signing that, you're acknowledging you fully
- 20 understand your rights and are willing to give up those rights
- and proceed by information?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. You may go ahead and execute
- that.
- 25 (The Defendant signed the Waiver of Indictment.)

Page 8 1 THE COURT: Do you have any questions, sir, about that form or anything we've talked about so far? 2 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And you reviewed all the contents of 4 this waiver with your attorney? 5 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: And I don't need to execute it. 8 correct? 9 MR. HAMILTON: That's not our practice here. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Great. So this can be filed in the record in this case? 11 12 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. Great. Now, I'm going to ask you a number of questions. It's my 13 understanding that you've come here today with the intention 14 to plead guilty. Is that correct? 15 16 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. Do you understand that you're now 17 THE COURT: under oath and if you give false answers to any of my 18 19 questions, you can be charged with perjury or making a false 20 statement? 21 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Have you discussed everything you 23 believe you need to with Mr. Yarbrough? 24 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to review

Page 10 THE COURT: And why don't you tell me where you went 1 to school? 2 MR. RALENKOTTER: College? 3 THE COURT: Why don't you start with high school and 4 5 go all the way through. MR. RALENKOTTER: I grew up in a little town, 6 Erlanger, Kentucky. I went to a small catholic high school. 7 THE COURT: What --8 9 MR. RALENKOTTER: St. Henry. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm from that area, so I'm familiar with it. 11 12 MR. RALENKOTTER: I attended Northern Kentucky University while working full-time. I did not complete 13 Northern Kentucky University. I took a job and went to work 14 before I completed school. 15 16 THE COURT: Did you ever complete college? 17 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. Okay. And where did you take a job? 18 19 MR. RALENKOTTER: I actually moved to Nashville and 20 went to work for a company called Comp Data. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And how long did you work there? 22 MR. RALENKOTTER: Eight years, eight or nine years. THE COURT: And then why don't you tell me where you 23 went from there? 24 25 MR. RALENKOTTER: That was '86 to '94. In '94, I

Page 11 went up there and went to work with a truck stop chain called 1 They were based out of El Paso, but I went to work for 2 them covering the northeast territory, worked there for three 3 years and then went to work at Pilot. 4 5 THE COURT: And you've worked there ever since? MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Have you in your entire life been treated for any mental illnesses? 8 9 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. 10 THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to narcotics of any kind? 11 12 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. THE COURT: Have you taken any narcotic drugs, 13 tranquilizers, or hallucinogens in the past 24 hours? 14 15 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. 16 THE COURT: Have you taken any medicine in the past 17 24 hours? MR. RALENKOTTER: I took a NyQuil tablet last night 18 19 to try to sleep. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And what time did you take the NyQuil tablet? 21 22 MR. RALENKOTTER: About 10:30. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And so in my experience with NyQuil tablets, that wears off about six to eight hours later. 24 25 Is that your experience as well?

Page 12 1 MR. RALENKOTTER: I was up about 6:30. 2 THE COURT: I'm sorry? MR. RALENKOTTER: I was up about 6:30 this morning. 3 THE COURT: And you know how NyQuil can make you 4 5 drowsy, were you drowsy at all? MR. RALENKOTTER: Till I had my first cup of coffee. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. You sound like me. drowsy at all now? 8 9 MR. RALENKOTTER: Maybe a little bit, sure. THE COURT: Okay. And do you feel like you can 10 fully understand all of my questions in spite of that 11 12 drowsiness? 13 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Have you taken any other pills of any 14 kind in the past 24 hours? 15 16 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. 17 THE COURT: What about vitamins? MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. What about alcoholic beverages? 20 Have you drank any in the past 24 hours? 21 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. 22 THE COURT: Do you know why I'm asking you all these questions? 23 24 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. 25 THE COURT: I want to make sure that you -- remember

- 1 how I told you at the beginning I want you to understand my
- 2 questions? If you're on drugs, you can tell me you understand
- 3 them, but you really won't.
- 4 Now, I can tell from looking at you and your
- 5 response that you understand everything I'm saying, but I just
- 6 want to make sure.
- 7 Mr. Yarbrough, do you have any question as to the
- 8 Defendant's competency to plead at this time?
- 9 MR. YARBROUGH: No, I do not, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Mr. Yarbrough, have you ever at any time
- 11 perceived a need for an evaluation of the Defendant or seen
- any indication of erratic or irrational decision making on
- behalf of the Defendant?
- 14 MR. YARBROUGH: No, Your Honor. I've seen no
- 15 indications of that.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ralenkotter, do you
- 17 understand the charge against you in this case?
- 18 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 19 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with Mr. Yarbrough's
- 20 advice and representation?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 22 THE COURT: I want to talk to you -- I know you went
- over this with your attorney, but I want to talk to you for a
- 24 minute about the maximum penalties and how they work in this
- case and if you have any questions, I want to talk to you

- 1 about that okay?
- 2 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: So it's my understanding you're here
- 4 today to plead to a count, one count of conspiracy to commit
- 5 mail fraud and wire fraud in violation of 18 United States
- 6 Code § 1349, right?
- 7 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 8 THE COURT: And you've gone over that section with
- 9 your attorney, correct?
- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: And you understand it carries a maximum
- 12 penalty of 20 years imprisonment?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 14 THE COURT: Do you understand it carries a fine of
- 15 not more than \$250,000?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that restitution in
- this case may be mandatory and you will be liable for that
- 19 restitution?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 21 THE COURT: Am I correct, Mr. Lewen, that
- restitution may be mandatory?
- MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, restitution is mandatory
- in this case.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. And have the victims been

1 notified of their rights?

2.

MR. HAMILTON: Well, Your Honor, in this case, because it's an ongoing investigation and because the potential victims are still being identified in this ongoing investigation, not all of the potential victims have been notified because they're still being identified.

Additionally, this proceeding was under seal until just moments before, Judge, you stepped out here and as a result, the one company who was identified as a potential victim in the plea agreement has not been notified.

But consistent with the law under 18 U.S.C. § 3171(c), the United States will make it's best efforts to notify that victim shortly following this proceeding now that this matter is not under seal.

THE COURT: Great. And can I be assured as we go forward that the United States will notify all victims as they become -- as the United States becomes aware of them and notify them of all hearings and relevant matters?

MR. HAMILTON: Yes, your Honor. The United States is well aware of its obligations with respect to victim notification and as those victims are identified and confirmed to be victims, we will certainly comply with our obligations under the law.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you very much.

25 Do you also understand that you'll face a mandatory

1 special assessment of \$100, which you will have to pay?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.

- 2 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 3 THE COURT: Finally, I want to talk to you for a
 4 second about supervised release. You will be placed on up to
 5 three years of supervised release following any period of

6

7

incarceration. Do you understand that?

- 8 THE COURT: And the conditions on supervision may be
 9 stricter than society in general, so I'm going to give you an
 10 example. After -- when we get to sentencing, I may require
 11 that you complete your college degree and I may only give you
 12 two years to do so, all right?
- So unlike everyone else in the world, if you don't complete your college degree, can you tell me what would happen to you?
- 16 MR. RALENKOTTER: I would go to jail.
- THE COURT: You'd go back to jail. So supervised
 release can be a revolving door where if you don't comply with
 the terms and conditions I set that will be stricter than
 society, you could end up back in jail. Do you understand
 that?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: And then you will be placed on a new 24 period of supervised release. Do you understand that?
- 25 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

Page 17

There's another thing about supervised 1 THE COURT: release that's different than everything else, whereas the 2 Government needs to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt 3 to show a criminal violation, for supervised release, they 4 only need to prove a violation by a preponderance of the 5 evidence. Do you understand that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So if I say you're not allowed to drink alcohol during the term of your supervision, which is likely a condition, and they can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that you had one beer, do you know where you go?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Back to jail, sir.

13 THE COURT: Okay. So you understand that. Do you have any questions about supervised release or anything we've 14 talked about so far? 15

> MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, we talked about how the maximum term of imprisonment in this case is 20 years in prison. you understand that if the Court accepts your plea of guilty, it can impose the maximum penalty of 20 years imprisonment in this case?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: And three years of supervised release.

Do you understand that? 24

25 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your plea of
guilty is accepted, the Court can impose the same penalty as
though you pled not guilty, stood trial, and were convicted by
a jury?

5 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the offences to which you are pleading — the offense to which you are pleading guilty is a felony offense and that if your plea is accepted, you will be judged guilty of that offense and such adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand if you're not a citizen of the United States or the Government later determines that you are not, you could be subject to deportation and most likely will as a result of pleading guilty to this offense?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Don't worry. If you are a citizen, they can't take it away as long as you're a natural-born citizen, at least I've not heard -- and Mr.

Page 19

- 1 Yarbrough may know more than me, but I've never heard of the
- 2 United States being able to do that.
- 3 MR. YARBROUGH: I'm with you, Judge.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Do you also understand that by
- 5 pleading guilty, you can lose significant things including
- 6 professional licenses, the right to a concealed carry permit
- or other gun permits because you'll never be able to possess a
- gun again, and you could also have difficulty finding jobs in
- 9 the like as a convicted felon?
- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of
- 12 that?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Have you and Mr. Yarbrough gone over how
- 15 the sentencing quidelines might apply in your case?
- 16 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentencing
- 18 guidelines are just one tool the Court will use in determining
- 19 an appropriate sentence in your case?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Mr. Lewen, this is not an 11(c)1(C) plea
- 22 agreement, correct?
- 23 MR. HAMILTON: It is not, Your Honor. And this is
- 24 Mr. Lewen. I'm Mr. Hamilton, but --
- 25 THE COURT: I am so sorry.

- 1 MR. HAMILTON: -- I'm happy to be called Mr. Lewen.
- 2 It's totally -- but I just wanted to --
- THE COURT: Well, I'm glad you clarified that.
- 4 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, it is not an 11(c)(1)(C)
- 5 plea agreement, but as the Court I'm sure has already seen in
- 6 paragraph 3, the United States has agreed to make a
- 7 recommendation pursuant to 11(c)(1)(B), which the Court is not
- 8 obligated to accept. So this is not an 11(c)(1)(C).
- 9 THE COURT: Do you understand all that, Mr.
- 10 Ralenkotter?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: I think so, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about it for just a
- 13 second. So what Mr. Hamilton said -- and I apologize again
- for getting the names wrong, but -- and it won't happen again,
- 15 Mr. Hamilton, now that I've figured it out.
- 16 MR. HAMILTON: I'm happy to be called Mr. Lewen any
- 17 time.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Good. And I assume Mr. Lewen is
- 19 sitting to your right and my left?
- MR. HAMILTON: That's correct.
- THE COURT: Okay. Good. Mr. Ralenkotter, in the
- 22 plea agreement -- and we're going to go over the plea
- agreement momentarily, the United States, in that discussion
- we just had, an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement is binding on the
- 25 Court, whereas an 11(c)(1)(B) plea agreement is just a

- 1 recommendation to the Court. Do you understand the
- 2 difference?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 3 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: The sentencing guidelines, I want to talk to you about for just a second, are a way of setting boundaries, boundaries that are advisory for the Court in determining what an appropriate sentence is. Do you understand that?
- 9 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
 - appropriate sentence in your case, there will be a presentence report prepared and the Court must consider that as well as all other facts your attorney and the United States wants the Court to consider and then the Court will look at what's called 18 United States Code § 3553(a) factors to determine if the guidelines are reasonable and sufficient, but not greater than necessary in your case. Do you understand that?
- 18 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. So I just gave you a mouthful and 20 you understand everything I said?
- 21 MR. RALENKOTTER: Am I C or B?
- 22 THE COURT: You are B, so there's no binding -- in 23 other words, what's going to happen just so we're on the same 24 page is you'll do whatever you and the Government have agreed 25 to do, you'll plead to this -- and then some day down the

1 road, usually 90 to 120 days, but the Government or Mr.

Yarbrough may push that off so that everyone is sentenced at the same time so that your -- you get a fair sentence, these will be all your codefendants.

Now, the Court doesn't have to consider that, but it's something Courts ordinarily consider in determining what a fair sentence is.

What happens is a presentence report is prepared and your attorney and the United States -- and we're going to talk about this -- are going to have the opportunity to object to that and then the Court gets that, okay?

And, first, you have an opportunity to object with probation and if they don't agree with you or the United States, then it comes to me and we have a hearing on that, then I determine what the guidelines are.

Now, just because I determine what the guidelines are doesn't mean I have to sentence within the guidelines. I can vary upwards and I can vary downwards. And I'll give you a sentence that the statutory factors and the guidelines determine is fair and just.

The difference is an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, you and United States agree to a specific sentence and I can either accept the plea agreement or reject the plea agreement, but I have no discretion as to sentence in that situation. Do you understand the difference?

Page 23

- 1 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, I do, Your Honor. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Okay. And your plea is 11(c)(1)(B) plea
- 3 agreement. Do you understand?
- 4 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand -- as I've just
- 6 talked about probably more than you wanted to hear, that I
- 7 won't be able to determine a fair and just sentence until
- 8 after I consider the presentence report?
- 9 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: So if you ask me today what sentence you
- are going to get, I would tell you it's somewhere between 0
- 12 and 20 years.
- 13 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand the Court is
- 15 not bound by any stipulation of facts between you and the
- Government, the Court will, with the aid of the presentence
- 17 report, determine what facts are relevant for sentencing?
- 18 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Now, I want to talk to you about a
- 20 specific provision in the plea agreement that I marked, but it
- 21 might take me a little time to find. And, Mr. Hamilton, maybe
- you can point me to it. I think it's different than ours,
- 23 which is the waiver in -- the waiver of appeal and things like
- 24 that.
- 25 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. I believe that it's either

- paragraph -- I think it's paragraph 13, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: So do you have the plea agreement in
- 3 front of you?
- 4 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. I want you to look at paragraph
- 6 13 and I want to talk about 13A first, okay? So the -- you --
- 7 here, if you go past the first introductory point, which
- 8 points out the kind of deal you all cut, "the Defendant agrees
- 9 not to file a direct appeal of the Defendant's conviction or
- sentence except that the Defendant retains the right to appeal
- a sentence imposed above the sentencing guideline range or any
- applicable mandatory minimum sentence, whichever is greater
- determined by the District Court."
- Now, it's my understanding, unless something's new,
- 15 Mr. Hamilton, there is no mandatory minimum in a 1349 crime,
- 16 correct?
- 17 MR. HAMILTON: That is correct, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. So you can ignore that part and
- 19 let's talk about this: So let's say the guidelines in your
- case -- and I'm just making this up, I have no idea -- are 18
- to 24 months, okay? If I sentence you to 21 months, can you
- appeal the sentence?
- 23 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. What if I sentence you to 24
- 25 months and two days? Can you appeal the sentence?

- 1 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. What if I sentence you to 12
- 3 months and one day? Can you appeal the sentence?
- 4 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: It's because you can only appeal the
- 6 sentence if it's greater than the sentencing guideline range.
- 7 And as we talked about before, I can sentence you above the
- 8 sentencing guideline range -- and you're nodding your head so
- 9 you understand that, correct?
- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: In that instance, you can appeal, but in
- all other instances, you can't. Any questions?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go over paragraph B. "In
- 15 addition, the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the
- right to file any motions or pleadings pursuant to 28 United
- 17 States Code § 2255 or to collaterally attack the Defendant's
- 18 conviction and/or resulting sentence."
- 19 Now, I want to talk to you about that before we talk
- about the second sentence. What this means -- essentially
- what -- they've set a deal and their deal is you can't attack
- 22 any screw-ups I make after the fact, but you can attack if
- your counsel was ineffective and that's -- I'm going to tell
- you right now that's a very difficult burden to prove, or if
- 25 the prosecutor acted unethically, you can attack that after

- 1 the fact in what's called a habeas corpus petition.
- 2 But by this waiver, if I screw up somehow, you can't
- 3 appeal me if I sentence you and the guidelines are below and
- 4 you can't attack me after the fact and say, "Judge, you were a
- 5 nutcase and you screwed up, " you can't do that. Do you
- 6 understand that?
- 7 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: The only thing you retain the right to
- 9 do, as the second sentence says, is attack your counsel for
- 10 being ineffective, which I mentioned is very difficult to
- 11 prove, or attack the prosecutor for misconduct. Do you
- 12 understand that?
- 13 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. And that's also very difficult to
- 15 prove. Do you have any questions about that?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Hamilton, I want to know
- 18 how many plea offers you made to Mr. Yarbrough or how many
- 19 different plea agreements occurred in this case -- or were
- 20 made.
- 21 MR. HAMILTON: I'm sorry. Could you help me
- 22 understand that question better?
- 23 THE COURT: Yeah. The Missouri case out of the
- 24 United States Supreme Court -- what I'd like to know is how
- 25 many -- let's talk about it. So you and Mr. Yarbrough had

- discussions about a plea in this case obviously. You didn't
- 2 just show up today with a plea agreement and everyone signed
- 3 it. And how many plea agreements did you mail, fax, send,
- 4 e-mail, any way, hand to Mr. Yarbrough?
- 5 MR. HAMILTON: I think I understand, Your Honor. Is
- 6 the point of this exercise to make sure that the Defendant is
- 7 fully informed of any offers that have been made from --
- 8 THE COURT: That is correct.
- 9 MR. HAMILTON: -- the Government and his counsel?
- 10 THE COURT: That is correct.
- 11 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. I'm certainly aware of the
- importance of that. The United States submitted one plea
- agreement to defense counsel in this process.
- 14 THE COURT: Were any of the terms changed or did you
- 15 negotiate the terms before you -- as you know, for example, in
- paragraph 13A, you all obviously -- unless that's just form
- language in this district, did you discuss the language in
- 18 some portion?
- 19 MR. HAMILTON: 13A, our appellate waiver is a form
- 20 provision --
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. HAMILTON: -- and it's only changed actually
- 23 with internal approval within the office and there are
- 24 actually -- there was -- the United States Attorney's Office
- 25 put the plea agreement together. We advised Mr. Yarbrough

1 that it was coming his way and that really was the extent of

2 it.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Of course we met with Mr. Yarbrough and went over it
and I'm sure that there were some discussions about it. What
precisely those were, I certainly would be reluctant to state

6 with that level of detail, but --

THE COURT: No, that's fine. And, Mr. Yarbrough,

every time the United States communicated with you, did you

talk to Mr. Ralenkotter about the plea agreement itself?

MR. YARBROUGH: Yes, I did, Your Honor. Just to be fully disclosing everything here, the first time some of these terms were discussed, Mr. Ralenkotter was actually in the room and heard those discussions take place between myself and the attorneys for the Government.

And then there were later discussions before actually in the written agreement, which we engaged in, and then, finally, the written plea agreement, which I went over with Mr. Ralenkotter in detail.

THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Ralenkotter, were you privy to all plea negotiations?

- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: And you feel like you discussed with
 your attorney every time the United States and him had a
 discussion, you discussed it with him?
- 25 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

Page 29 THE COURT: And this is the only plea agreement you 1 2 ever saw, correct? MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And that was a result of the 4 5 negotiations between your counsel and the Government? MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Are you concerned in any way that there's anything else out there about this plea agreement 8 9 to which you were not advised? 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir. THE COURT: And, Mr. Yarbrough, is that accurate 11 12 that he was advised of all negotiations --MR. YARBROUGH: Yes, it is, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that parole has 14 been abolished and if you are sentenced to prison, you will 15 16 not be released on parole? 17 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the Court 18 19 could place you on probation, it may or may not do so? 20 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I want to talk to you about your 22 constitutional rights. Before I do so, I want to make sure 23 you don't have any questions about anything we've covered so far. 24

MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court reminds and advises you that under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to plead and persist in your plea of not guilty, you have the right to be tried by a jury, have a speedy and public trial, you would have the right to the assistance of counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses who testify against you, and the right to refuse to testify yourself, unless you voluntarily chose to do so in your own defense, and if you decide not to testify that cannot be held against you and the jury will be instructed they cannot hold it against you.

In such trial you would be presumed innocent until such time, if ever, as the Government established your guilt by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt.

At such trial you would be entitled to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses on your behalf. The Government would have to pay for the attendance of witnesses.

Do you understand that if you plead guilty, you give up all of the rights I have just mentioned?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead guilty, there will not be a further trial of any kind in your case, so that by pleading guilty, you're giving up the right to a trial?

1 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes.

2.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, do you understand you will also have to waive your right not to incriminate yourself since I will have to ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged and you will have to acknowledge your guilt?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you willing to waive and give up your right to a trial and the other rights I have just discussed?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I understand through our discussions that you have a plea agreement with the United States. What I'm going to do now is I'm going to have Mr. Hamilton summarize -- and he's just going to briefly summarize the essential terms of the plea agreement.

Now, this is what the United States views as essential. I want you to listen closely. I want to make sure A, that he accurately summarizes what he views is essential and B, that you tell me if that's what you viewed as critical in agreeing to this plea agreement, are there any terms he left out either in summarizing or that weren't included in the plea agreement that were critical to you pleading guilty. Do you understand that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.

Page 32

- 1 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about what I
- just said?
- 3 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hamilton, will you please
- 5 summarize briefly the essential terms of the plea agreement?
- 6 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. And before I do
- 7 that, may I ask a question of the Court, please?
- 8 THE COURT: You absolutely may.
- 9 MR. HAMILTON: During the Court's proceeding today,
- 10 I've been tracking Rule 11(b), which -- that the Court is
- aware of the areas the Court needs to cover before accepting a
- guilty plea, and I thought that since there were only two
- items that were left, that maybe I could bring those to the
- 14 Court's attention.
- THE COURT: You may.
- 16 MR. HAMILTON: -- and at this point before we get
- into the plea agreement. One is Rule 11 -- Rule 11(b) --
- excuse me, Rule 11, yes, (b)1(j), which is a reference to any
- 19 applicable forfeiture and that was not addressed when the
- 20 Court was discussing maximum penalties.
- 21 THE COURT: Correct. I cover that after the plea
- agreement once I see if you all agree to a forfeiture.
- MR. HAMILTON: Okay. And I want to -- as the
- Court's already seen, there are no forfeiture allegations --
- THE COURT: Right.

- MR. HAMILTON: -- actually in the charge. 1 And the other one, of course, may be another one that the Court is 2 going to address and, again, I apologize for interrupting the 3 Court, but that there was an obligation impose a special 4 assessment. And that may also be later, but I wanted to bring 5 it up. 6 7 THE COURT: I think we talked about that, correct?
- 8 MR. YARBROUGH: Yes, we did.
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. Ralenkotter?
- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.

forfeiture, correct?

- MR. HAMILTON: Well, then I apologize.
- 12 THE COURT: That's all right. And let me just go

 13 over the forfeiture now since -- you mentioned there is no
- MR. HAMILTON: There's no forfeiture allegation. Of
 course, there's always a potential for a civil forfeiture
 proceeding, but that it not be part of the criminal
 proceeding. There are standard forfeiture provisions in the
 plea agreement, but as the Court saw, there are no forfeiture
 allegations in the informations.
- 21 THE COURT: Right. And, Mr. Ralenkotter, you 22 understand all of that?
- 23 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Does your understanding then include 25 that you've not agreed to any forfeiture in this case,

- 1 correct?
- 2 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You do understand, and as Mr. Hamilton

just pointed out, that there could be -- and I talk about this

in a minute, but we can go over it now, that there could be a

civil forfeiture in this case in which the United States later

pursues a forfeiture, your house, your cars, anything like

that; but it has to tie it to criminal proceeds. Do you

understand that?

- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hamilton, is there anything
 12 else you'd like to --
- MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HAMILTON: And in response to the Court's question about the summary of the plea agreement, the United States respectfully offers to the Court that the summary that the Government is going to provide today regarding the terms of the plea agreement is just that, a summary. And we'd like to state that for the record.

It should in no way be intended as a substitute for or an addition to the actual terms set forth in the plea agreement executed by all the parties and filed with the Court on May 24th, 2013, and I believe is identified as Record No. 4 in the Court's docket system.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

More specifically, the integration clause that's set forth in paragraph 15 of that written plea agreement remains in full force in effect, that nothing said during the Government's summary today modifies the written plea agreement in any way at all.

With that said, Your Honor, turning to paragraph one of the plea agreement, in that paragraph the parties agreed that the Defendant will waive indictment and plead to an information. That paragraph also sets forth the maximum penalties.

But if I may Your Honor, I would also like to restate my introductory remarks that the United States maintains that every paragraph, sentence, word is relevant to the proceedings. There isn't one that we consider more important than the other ones. Every term in here has a place and has been thought out by our office.

We use -- our office uses a plea agreement that is a form plea agreement in all the cases and it has actually been carefully thought out by senior management at our office. So I would just advise the Court of that generally, that we consider all of the terms relevant. That covers paragraph 1.

Turning to paragraph 2 of the plea agreement, in that paragraph, the paragraph sets forth the elements of the charged offense. Would the Court like for me to review the elements with the Defendant at this time?

THE COURT: Actually, we'll do it after you go
through the plea agreement if that's okay, as well as the
facts.

MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. Well, turning to paragraph 3, of course that paragraph summarizes the factual basis with the Court, which the Government -- obviously the Court and the Government consider very relevant to this proceeding.

Also, I wanted to bring to the Court's attention that paragraph 3 not only summarizes the relevant facts, but paragraph 3 subparagraphs r, s, t -- r, s, and t in particular identify the agreement between the United States and the Defendant with respect to our promise to limit certain guideline -- to recommend to the Court that there be certain guideline limitations in place pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B), which we have already addressed, but I wanted to bring to the Court's attention that that's where those are.

Turning to paragraph 4 of the plea agreement, turning to paragraph 4, that paragraph states that the Defendant acknowledges and understands the various rights that he is giving up by pleading guilty. And having compared that provision with what the Court has reviewed today, it looks like those items have not only been addressed in the plea agreement, but also have been addressed in open court today as well.

Turning to paragraph 5, that paragraph outlines the possible disposition of this case, which is, of course, also important.

And turning to paragraph 6, 7, and 8 of the plea agreement, those paragraphs outline the terms surrounding the Defendant's agreement to cooperate with any and all law enforcement agents and personnel of the United States Attorney's Office and outlines the parameters for that cooperation.

Turning to paragraph 9, paragraph 9 addresses acceptance of responsibility and the United States sentencing guideline Section 3E1.1(b), which relates to the additional point.

Turning to paragraph 10, that paragraph addresses payment of a special assessment. Paragraph 11 addresses the payment of restitution in this case, which the Court has touched upon already. Paragraph 12 addresses any financial obligation that the Defendant may have that arises from this case.

Paragraph 13 addresses the appellate waiver, which the Court has addressed already today. Photograph 14 deals with some contractual provisions related to the plea agreement, more particularly, the binding date of the agreement and the consequences of breach by any party to the plea agreement.

Paragraph 15 is an integration clause that requires
that any modification to the agreement be reduced to writing
and fully -- and, of course, quite unequivocally states that
all the promises and undertakings and understandings between
the Defendant and the United States are set forth in this plea
agreement.

7 THE COURT: Great. Mr. Ralenkotter, did you hear 8 Mr. Hamilton's summary of the plea agreement?

9 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And he summarized briefly, as he
mentioned, the essential terms of the plea agreement. Did you
hear that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Were there any terms he left out that were critical to your decision to plead guilty?

MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. One of the terms that seems to me

-- and I know Mr. Yarbrough would say is critical -- is if you

look at -- and he summarized this, so your answer was correct,

was -- if you look at 3(r) -- and I just want to talk to you

about that provision for a second.

So there's two different ways the Government can hold you accountable for conduct. Under the sentencing guidelines if you are held liable for the entire conspiracy, the amount of loss would be significantly higher -- and I'm

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just guessing. I mean unless you were involved in every piece

of this, the guidelines would be higher and you would be

3 subject to a higher guideline range. Do you understand that?

4 MR. RALENKOTTER: We spoke about that, yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. So this, to me, is a critical component for you to plead guilty. But there's one thing I want to talk to you about and that is that while that is true under the sentencing guidelines, you realize that under 3553(a), I will consider the entire offense and what you are personally responsible for. And it will be still limited in some fashion and things you don't know about, I can tell you because I'm the sentencing judge, I'm not going to consider, but I will consider the entire offense before determining if the guidelines are appropriate. Do you understand?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So while this paragraph is critical to you and I understand why, you understand the Court can consider more than that. The Court's not bound by this limitation.

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there anything that Mr.

Hamilton left out that was critical to your decision to plead

23 guilty?

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Yarbrough, did he give a fair

- 1 and accurate summary of the plea agreement?
- MR. YARBROUGH: Yes, I believe so, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Was there anything in your mind he left
- 4 out that was critical to the Defendant's decision to plead
- 5 guilty?
- 6 MR. YARBROUGH: Nothing that I can think of, Your
- 7 Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Has anyone made any other or different
- 9 promises in this case that induced you to plead guilty?
- 10 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Aside from this plea agreement, has any
- person, including an officer or agent of the Government or any
- of the lawyers in this case promised or even suggested that
- 14 you will receive a lighter sentence or any other form of
- 15 leniency if you plead guilty?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: So you understand that by pleading
- 18 guilty, you can cut all the deals you want, but I can still
- sentence you to the maximum sentence in this case?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Is your decision to plead guilty your
- own free and voluntary act?
- 23 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Have you been subjected to any threats
- or force of any kind which caused you to plead guilty?

- 1 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. Now, we discussed this before --
- 3 there's no forfeiture provisions in this plea agreement. Do
- 4 you understand?
- 5 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 6 THE COURT: And you also understand you can still be
- 7 subject to civil forfeiture?
- 8 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 9 THE COURT: You also understand that restitution is
- 10 mandatory?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Mr. Hamilton, I would
- like you to summarize what the Government would have to prove
- beyond a reasonable doubt, in other words the essential
- 15 elements of this case, if this case went to trial. And I want
- 16 you to listen closely because I'm going to ask you if you
- 17 understand what the Government would have to prove beyond a
- 18 reasonable doubt.
- 19 Then I'm going to have Mr. Hamilton summarize the
- 20 facts underlying it, and the facts are pretty extensive in
- this plea agreement as you know. I'm just going to have him
- give a brief summary of the facts underlying the essential
- 23 elements, even though you signed and agreed to every fact in
- this plea agreement.
- 25 And then I'm going to ask you a couple questions

about that and then I'm going to ask you a question about the entire facts listed in the plea agreement. Do you understand that?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Hamilton.

MR. HAMILTON: All right. Your Honor, in order to -- in order for this Defendant to be convicted, the United States at trial would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there existed an agreement between two or more persons to commit mail fraud, an offense against the United States, that is, with the intent to defraud, to knowingly devise and intend to devise and to participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and omissions, and for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, knowingly causes any matter or thing to be sent and delivered by mail or commercial interstate carrier, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341; and wire fraud, an offense against the United States, that is, with the intent to defraud, to knowingly devise and intend to devise and to participate in the scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and omissions, and for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice to cause to be transmitted by means of wire in interstate commerce, writings, signs, and signals, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §

- 1 1343.
- That the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily joined and participated in the conspiracy; and that an overt act was committed by at least one co-conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Now, all that is listed in paragraph 2(a) of your plea agreement. You remember that?
- 8 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 9 THE COURT: Do you understand the Government would
 10 have to prove that entire mouthful beyond a reasonable doubt
 11 at trial?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm going to summarize it in 14 a different way, but I'm going to tell you that what Mr.
- 15 Hamilton said is 100 percent accurate.
- 16 What I'm going to say is just for the simple minds
 17 like mine, the way that I think of it, which is you had to
 18 participate in a conspiracy to commit fraud and during that
 19 conspiracy, you had to use the mail and/or wire, which is a
 20 fax, e-mail.
- The wire would have to cross interstate lines. The
 mail, if it's the United States Postal Service and other
 things -- it has to be a commercial interstate carrier, so it
 can be UPS or FedEx or someone like that, or it can be the
 United States mail. You would have to send things, you would

- 1 have to wire things all in furtherance of that conspiracy. Do
- 2 you understand?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any -- do you have any
- 5 questions about any of that?
- 6 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm going to have Mr.
- 8 Hamilton -- again, he's just going to briefly summarize the
- 9 facts underlying those essential elements, so what the
- 10 Government would prove at trial. But it's just a brief
- 11 summary.
- 12 I recognize this plea agreement contains extensive
- facts that you've already agreed to and I'm going to ask you
- 14 about that as well. Go ahead.
- 15 MR. HAMILTON: And, Your Honor, I -- certainly I
- understand. I hear the Court when the Court is telegraphing
- to me that the Court would like for this to be brief, but with
- 18 a case like this, we're reluctant to -- I'm reluctant to
- 19 provide that kind of brief summary, but I feel like with the
- 20 disclaimer that I provided at the beginning that any sort of
- 21 summary that I provide is not in any way intended to modify
- the plea agreement, that we are safe to do that.
- Generally, the factual basis in -- and I think that
- it might be easiest just to highlight some of these
- 25 subparagraphs if --

- 1 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean if you want to go through
- and read it, you're perfectly welcome if you feel more
- 3 comfortable that way. I can assure you -- and I'm sure Mr.
- 4 Yarbrough doesn't object, nor does Mr. Ralenkotter, that -- I
- 5 mean I know Mr. Yarbrough went over this factual basis
- 6 extensively and I'm going to ask that with Mr. Ralenkotter,
- 7 but if you want to read the entire thing, I won't be offended,
- 8 so --
- 9 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor. Typically -- and, of
- 10 course, this is my first proceeding before this Court.
- 11 Typically in these, in white collar matters, which I typically
- handle, with a sophisticated Defendant like Mr. Ralenkotter,
- if I may, what I ask the Court to do -- and you may already be
- 14 planning to do this -- is I ask the Court to inquire of the
- Defendant if he has, in fact, read and reviewed paragraph 3,
- 16 which is on pages 4 through --
- 17 THE COURT: I think it's 7 or 8.
- 18 MR. HAMILTON: I believe it goes all the way through
- 19 paragraph -- it goes from paragraph 3, pages 4 through 11, did
- 20 he read every bit of that and by placing his signature --
- 21 THE COURT: Pages 4 through 11? Do I have a
- 22 different plea agreement? I have it on 2 through 9.
- MR. HAMILTON: That's correct, Your Honor. Excuse
- 24 me, that is correct.
- 25 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Maybe I heard you wrong. Go

- ahead.
- 2 MR. HAMILTON: You did hear me incorrectly -- I'm
- 3 sorry. You did hear me correctly. I just misspoke.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 MR. HAMILTON: So that he read all of paragraph 3
- 6 and that he agrees with it all and by placing his signature at
- 7 the end of the document, he not only manifests assent to the
- 8 entire plea agreement, but, of course, the factual basis in
- 9 particular. Would the Court consider doing that?
- THE COURT: Absolutely. Mr. Hamilton, let me just
- do that at the outset, but I still want you to summarize the
- 12 facts.
- MR. HAMILTON: I will, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ralenkotter, you reviewed
- this plea agreement, correct?
- 16 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: You went through every paragraph in
- 18 paragraph -- in 3 in particular, paragraph 3(a) through (u)?
- 19 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: And you discussed them extensively with
- 21 Mr. Yarbrough before agreeing to sign this document?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: And you agree to every fact therein or
- you wouldn't have signed this document, correct?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed with a summary.

2 MR. HAMILTON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

Paragraph 3(a) provides the summary information about the company, Pilot Corporation, and about its direct sales division, which consists of regional vice presidents, sales directors, and sales managers, and account representatives, and that they are responsible for negotiating various incentives, including price discounts for the customers.

In paragraph (b), paragraph 3(b) in summary identifies who the Defendant is and his place in the company and that since 2008, he served as a regional sales director in Pilot's direct sales division. There are other relevant provisions in that, but in the summary, I will skip over those.

Paragraph 3(c) states that -- provides an explanation about the way in which, in many instances, Pilot's diesel discount deals are determined and it provides the various ways that that happens.

Paragraph 3(d) also relates to the way in which
Pilot's discount deals are negotiated with customers and the
way in which those are implemented. And paragraph (e)
identifies the difficulties for Pilot customers in following
what their diesel discount deals are because of the way it's
priced and the way that those prices are determined.

Paragraph 3(f) states that from approximately 2008 through approximately April 2013, the Defendant agreed and conspired with other Pilot employees to deceptively withhold discounts from Pilot customers, first through the deceptive reduction of monthly rebate amounts, and ultimately by deceptively lowering the off-invoice of customers who are unlikely to catch off-invoice discount reduction and who purchased fuel at Pilot travel plazas where Pilot had limited competition.

Paragraph 3(g) states that from approximately 2008 through approximately April 2013 that the Defendant deceptively withheld rebate amounts from Pilot customers in the following manner: That each month, the Defendant's inside regional account representative who worked at Pilot headquarters in Knoxville and was therefore referred to as an inside regional account rep, sent a spreadsheet by way of interstate wire transmission, namely an e-mail to the Defendant who worked remotely in Hebron, Kentucky, that identified the actual rebate amounts that the Defendant Ralenkotter's customers should receive pursuant to their discount agreements and recommended amounts by which to deceptively reduce the targeted customers' rebates without telling the affected customers.

The Defendant would then approve or sometimes further reduce his inside regional account representative's

1 recommended rebate reductions.

2.

After the Defendant approved the amounts of the fraudulent rebate reductions, the defendant's inside regional sales representative would cause rebate checks in the deceptively reduced amounts to be mailed or sent by a commercial carrier to the targeted customers.

On one occasion between 2008 and 2013, the Defendant told a subordinate that if he was not willing to deceptively reduce the customer's rebate, then the Defendant would take the customer's account from him.

On October 25th, 2012, during a business meeting of Pilot sales directors, Defendant Ralenkotter expressed in the presence of other sales directors his mutual agreement to defraud certain Pilot customers by deceptively withholding the full amount of the agreed-upon rebate amount to some customers when the actual rebate that Pilot owed the customer for a current month substantially exceeded the rebate Pilot paid the customer for the immediately preceding month.

On October 25th, 2012, Defendant Ralenkotter also expressed his intent to defraud Pilot customers by bragging to other Pilot direct sales employees that for the purpose of preventing a Pilot customer from taking its business to another customer, he lied to that customer and told that customer that Pilot would give that customer a better discount deal than the customer had previously been receiving, well

knowing that he, the Defendant, had no intention of actually giving that discount to the customer and, in fact, did not give that promised discount to the customer.

During the same October 25, 2012 business meeting of Pilot sales directors, it was agreed among those present that Pilot's national accounts sales director would teach Pilot's sales managers and account representatives manual rebate practices during Pilot's annual sales training event at Pilot headquarters planned for November 2012.

Then on November 19 and 20, 2012, Pilot held a mandatory sales training meeting for the company's diesel direct sales division of its headquarters located at 5508 Lonas Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee.

During this training meeting, Defendant Ralenkotter attended a break-out teaching session in which Pilot's national accounts sales director, in furtherance of the conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud, encouraged and taught Pilot direct sales personnel how to defraud without detection, some of Pilots customers who choose to receive their discount in the form of a rebate check. This Pilot sales director who gave this instruction encouraged the use of a spreadsheet in the manner similar to the process described above in paragraph 3(g).

By February 2013, the conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud in which the Defendant Ralenkotter and other Pilot

2.

employees were participating had evolved to the point that Defendant Ralenkotter was working with other Pilot employees toward identifying customers one, who purchased diesel from Pilot in locations where Pilot had no direct competition, and two, who also would not likely be able to notice a change in their off-invoice discount, so that he and his co-conspirators could deceptively reduce the off-invoice discount amounts for those customers without the customers' knowledge, which Defendant Ralenkotter referred to as "jacking" the discount during a February 22, 2013 conversation that the Defendant Ralenkotter had with another Pilot direct sales employee.

From 2008 through April of 2013, the Defendant, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, conspired and agreed with other Pilot employees to commit mail fraud, an offense against the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341, and wire fraud, an offense against the United States in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. And the elements, again, which we have gone over today are restated here in substance as well.

It further states that the Defendant caused to be transmitted by means of wire and interstate commerce, writings, signs, and signals, so that Pilot could fraudulently retain rebates and discounts that were owed and due to Pilot customers, so that Pilot could create and maintain the materially false pretense that those customers were, in fact, receiving their agreed upon diesel price discount with Pilot

2.

for the purpose of inducing those customers to continue with their purchasing of diesel fuel from Pilot, rather than a competitor and for the purpose of increasing both Pilot's profits and its sales personnel's commissions.

Defendant Ralenkotter and co-conspirator Pilot employees caused fraudulently determined rebate check amounts and invoices to be sent to many of Pilot's customers, so that Pilot could fraudulently retain those rebates that were owed and discounts that were due to customers, so that Pilot could create and maintain the false pretense that those customers where, in fact, receiving their agreed upon price discount with Pilot, for the purpose of inducing those customers to continue their purchasing of diesel from Pilot, rather than a competitor.

Paragraph -- that brings us to paragraph 3(p), which states an additional reason for the scheme, which has already been summarized.

Paragraph 3(q), during March 2012, as an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy to commit mail fraud and wire fraud by defrauding Pilot customers, Defendant Ralenkotter knowingly and willfully, and with the intent to defraud, caused an interstate wire transmission to be sent, namely the e-mailing of a spreadsheet from Pilot's Knoxville, Tennessee headquarters to Defendant Ralenkotter, who worked remotely in Hebron, Kentucky, that recommended the deceptive reduction of

customer rebates, and further caused a deceptively reduced
rebate check for the month of February 2012 to be mailed from
Pilot's Knoxville, Tennessee headquarters to Pilot's customer

Dana Transport in New Jersey.

The remainder of -- well, paragraph 3(r), 3(s), and 3(t); both the Government and the Court has addressed that the Defendant, relating to the 11(c)(1)(B) aspect of this plea agreement.

And, finally, the Government would note that in reaching this agreement, the United States has acknowledged in this plea agreement that Defendant Ralenkotter is the first Pilot sales director to admit and accept criminal responsibility for conspiring with others, including Pilot's direct sales division employees to defraud Pilot customers by fraudulently reducing Pilot customer rebates and discounts.

The Government appreciates the Court's patience with the Government in reviewing that. I tried to summarize the initial introduction, but due to the nature of this case, I felt like more of a verbatim reading of some more substantive aspects was necessary.

THE COURT: That's perfectly fine. So, Mr.

Ralenkotter, between 2008 and 2013, you did conspire with others, including employees of Pilot, to defraud some of the customers as to the amount of their rebates. Is that accurate?

- 1 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: And in doing so, you -- and Mr. Hamilton
- 3 went over some specific instances, I'm just going to cover it
- 4 generally -- you used the mails and wires to accomplish that
- 5 scheme to defraud. Is that fair?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: And this occurred in the Eastern
- 8 District of Tennessee, which is in Knoxville and other places,
- 9 as well as apparently in the Eastern District of Kentucky,
- 10 which includes Hebron, Kentucky. Is that accurate?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, sir.
- 12 THE COURT: And you did all that voluntarily,
- 13 knowingly, and intelligently, correct?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Is there anything I said in summarizing
- 16 Mr. Hamilton that's inaccurate?
- 17 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.
- 18 THE COURT: Is there anything Mr. Hamilton said in
- 19 summarizing the terms -- the facts listed in the plea
- 20 agreement that's inaccurate?
- 21 MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Are there any facts included in the plea
- 23 agreement itself to which you signed that are inaccurate?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: No, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: In light of everything I've told you

about your rights and in light of all of my questions, how do
you plead to Count 1 of the information? Guilty or not
guilty?

MR. RALENKOTTER: Guilty, Your Honor.

and responsiveness of the Defendant in giving his answers to the questions asked. Based on such observation of the answers given, the Court is satisfied that Mr. Ralenkotter is in full possession of his faculties. He's not suffering from any apparent physical or mental illness. He's not under the influence of narcotics or alcohol of any kind.

While he took a NyQuil last night, the Court has observed him and he's completely responsive and understands the proceedings in which he is engaged. He understands the nature and meaning of the charges and the consequences of his plea of guilty. He's aware of all plea negotiations undertaken in his behalf.

The Court finds the Defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea and that his plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in facts containing each of the essential elements of the offense. His plea of guilty is therefore accepted.

Do I sign the original plea agreement in this district?

- 1 MR. HAMILTON: That's typically not our practice,
- 2 but it does -- it actually brings me to a point that if I may
- 3 ask the Court a question --
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. HAMILTON: -- that the original plea agreement
- is right there with the clerk of Court and I was -- I would
- 7 request, when it's convenient for the Court, that that plea
- 8 agreement be passed to the Defendant so that he could
- 9 acknowledge on the record that that's his original signature.
- 10 THE COURT: Absolutely.
- MR. HAMILTON: May I approach, Your Honor, and
- 12 obtain that --
- THE COURT: Yeah. I don't have it, so that would be
- 14 great.
- 15 MR. HAMILTON: May I approach the lectern, Your
- 16 Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: You may. Is the plea agreement under
- 18 seal?
- 19 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, that was the next thing I
- was going to do was to move to unseal the plea agreement at
- 21 this time.
- THE COURT: That is granted. Would you acknowledge
- that that's your signature and then, Mr. Ralenkotter, would
- you also initial by paragraph 3, along with your attorney,
- 25 acknowledging that those are the facts that we're talking

- 1 about throughout this proceeding?
- 2 (The Defendant complied.)
- 3 MR. HAMILTON: And, Your Honor, if I could just
- 4 state for the record that this plea agreement was filed under
- 5 seal on May 24th, 2013, and it is record No. 4 and --
- 6 COURTROOM DEPUTY: That's the proposed. It's
- 7 actually Document No. 8.
- 8 MR. HAMILTON: I'm sorry, Document No. 8.
- 9 COURTROOM DEPUTY: I apologize.
- 10 MR. HAMILTON: It's now Document No. 8.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. HAMILTON: Well, then I need to, if I may then,
- when I began my summary, I referenced this -- and on this
- document, it actually has 4. That was the proposed number at
- 15 the bottom of it.
- 16 So apparently it's now Document No. 8, so earlier in
- 17 this proceeding before I began the summary, I referenced it as
- 18 Document No. 4. I need to correct that it's Document No. 8.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- 20 MR. HAMILTON: And I just want to bring to the
- 21 Court's attention that what the Defendant just reviewed and
- 22 what he initialled is a document of the Court at this point
- and it was brought to court by the Court by the clerk's office
- to have in this proceeding, and so we're now going to return
- it back to the Court.

Page 58 1 THE COURT: Great. Now, will you initial paragraph No. 3 as well? 2 MR. HAMILTON: The United -- on behalf of the United 3 States? 4 THE COURT: Yes, acknowledging that those are the 5 6 facts that we're all talking about. 7 (The United States complied.) Great. That will be filed in the record THE COURT: 8 and it will be -- it will not be under seal at this point. 9 10 And you don't need me to sign it, correct? MR. HAMILTON: No, sir. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. But it is approved and accepted 13 by the Court. Can I ask how the bond has already been set? And I 14 just want to mention to you, Mr. Ralenkotter, you will be on 15 16 your own recognizance. Obviously, as you know, you can't commit another federal, state, or local crime or any of the 17 other conditions that you'll sign and acknowledge to. 18 19 If you were to violate those conditions, you will 20 await sentencing in jail. Are we on the same page? 21 MR. RALENKOTTER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. As to the presentence report, 23 would the United States or Mr. Yarbrough like it prepared now and a sentencing date set or would you prefer that I set a 24 25 status conference a few months out and then we can discuss an

- 1 appropriate sentencing date?
- MR. HAMILTON: May we have a moment, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes. You absolutely may.
- 4 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, the Government and, I
- 5 believe, defense counsel would propose scheduling a status
- 6 conference in 120 days.
- 7 MR. YARBROUGH: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. So your math will be better than
- 9 mine. When are we -- so you're talking about September?
- 10 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. YARBROUGH: Right at the end of September, Your
- Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. How about September 24th?
- 15 MR. YARBROUGH: That's clear for us, Your Honor.
- MR. HAMILTON: That's fine for the Government, Your
- Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. We'll set it at 1:30 on September
- 19 24th.
- 20 MR. YARBROUGH: Would that be here in Knoxville,
- 21 Your Honor, or will that be determined?
- THE COURT: That will be in Knoxville unless you
- 23 want it somewhere else.
- MR. HAMILTON: I think we prefer Knoxville.
- THE COURT: Okay.

- 1 MR. YARBROUGH: I think that would be appropriate.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. It will be 1:30 on September 24th
- 3 in Knoxville.
- At some point, Mr. Ralenkotter, we'll go over how
- 5 the presentence report works. The gist of it is that
- 6 probation will interview you at some point once we set a
- 7 schedule. You'll meet with them, you can have your counsel
- 8 present, and then that kind of kicks off where they do their
- 9 investigation.
- 10 They provide a presentence report to me, and then
- 11 your counsel and the United States can object and probation
- will first determine that and if the objections can't be
- 13 resolved, I'll determine that.
- 14 Do you have any questions about that process?
- MR. RALENKOTTER: No, sir.
- 16 THE COURT: At some point we'll set the date for
- that, but right now, we'll wait until September 24th to
- 18 discuss that, okay?
- 19 Anything else we need to cover at this time?
- 20 MR. YARBROUGH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all. I'll see you on
- 24 September 24th.
- 25 (End of Proceedings.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE)
3	COUNTY OF HAMILTON)
4	I, TIFFANY ASHTON, LCR #625, Licensed Court Reporter and
5	notary public, in and for the State of Tennessee, do hereby
6	certify that the above hearing was reported by me, transcribed
7	by me, and that the foregoing 60 pages of the transcript is a
8	true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills,
9	and ability.
10	I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel
11	to any of the parties nor in any way financially interested in
12	the outcome of this case.
13	I further certify that I am duly licensed by the
14	Tennessee Board of Court Reporting as a Licensed Court
15	Reporter as evidenced by the LCR number and expiration date
16	following my name below.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
18	affixed my Notarial Seal this 8th day of July, 2013.
19	NDTC4.
20	Tiffany Ashton, LCR #625
21	LCR Expiration Date: 06/30/2014
22	Notary Commission Expires: 09/14/2014 Miller & Miller Court Reporters
23	12804 Union Road Knoxville, Tennessee 37934
24	Phone: 865-675-1471 Fax: 865-675-6398
25	E-mail: Jmccon3590@aol.com